

# **AICPA Professional Rulings and Interpretations Referenced in the Interagency Policy Statement**

## **RULINGS UNDER RULE OF CONDUCT 101**

### **103. Member Providing Attest Report on Internal Controls**

**.206 Question-**If a member or a member's firm (member) provides extended audit services for a client in compliance with interpretation 101- 13 [ET section 101.15], would the member be considered independent in the performance of an attestation engagement to report on the client's assertion regarding the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting?

**.207 Answer-**Independence would not be impaired with respect to the issuance of such a report if all of the following conditions are met:

1. The member's activities have been limited in a manner consistent with interpretation 101- 13 [ET section 101. 15].
2. Management has assumed responsibility to establish and maintain internal control.
3. Management does not rely on the member's work as the primary basis for its assertion and accordingly has (a) evaluated the results of its ongoing monitoring procedures built into the normal recurring activities of the entity (including regular management and supervisory activities) and (b) evaluated the findings and results of the member's work and other separate evaluations of controls, if any.

### **104. Member Providing Operational Auditing Services**

**.208 Question-**As part of an extended audit engagement, a member or member's firm (member) may be asked to review certain of the client's business processes, as selected by the client, for how well they function, their efficiency, or their effectiveness. For example, a member may be asked to assess whether performance is in compliance with management's policies and procedures, to identify opportunities for improvement, and to develop recommendations for improvement or further action for management consideration and decision making. Would the member's independence be considered to be impaired in performing such a service?

**.209 Answer-**The member's independence would not be considered to be impaired provided that during the course of the review the member does not act or appear to act in a capacity equivalent to that of a member of client management or of an employee. The decision as to whether any of the member's recommendations will be implemented must rest entirely with management.

### **105. Frequency of Performance of Extended Audit Procedures**

**.210 Question-**In providing extended audit services, would the frequency with which a member performs an audit procedure impair the member's independence?

**.211 Answer-**The independence of the member or member's firm would not be considered to be impaired provided that the member's activities have been limited in a manner consistent with interpretation 101-13 [ET section 101.15] and the procedures performed constituted separate evaluations of the effectiveness of the ongoing control and monitoring activities/procedures that are built into the client's normal recurring activities.

# AICPA Professional Rulings and Interpretations Referenced in the Interagency Policy Statement

## INTERPRETATION 101-13 UNDER RULES OF CONDUCT 101: EXTENDED AUDIT SERVICES

**.15 101-13-Extended audit services.** A member or a member's firm (the member) may be asked by a client, for which the member performs a professional service requiring independence, to perform extended audit services. These services may include assistance in the performance of the client's internal audit activities and/or an extension of the member's audit service beyond the requirements of generally accepted auditing standards (hereinafter referred to as "extended audit services").

A member's performance of extended audit services would not be considered to impair independence with respect to a client for which the member also performs a service requiring independence, provided that the member or his or her firm does not act or does not appear to act in a capacity equivalent to a member of client management or as an employee.

The responsibilities of the client, including its board of directors, audit committee, and management, and the responsibilities of the member, as described below, should be understood by both the member and the client. It is preferable that this understanding be documented in an engagement letter that indicates that the member may not perform management functions, make management decisions, or act or appear to act in a capacity equivalent to that of an employee.

A member should be satisfied that the client understands its responsibility for establishing and maintaining internal control and directing the internal audit function, if any. As part of its responsibility to establish and maintain internal control, management monitors internal control to assess the quality of its performance over time. Monitoring can be accomplished through ongoing activities, separate evaluations or a combination of both. Ongoing monitoring activities are the procedures designed to assess the quality of internal control performance over time and that are built into the normal recurring activities of an entity and include regular management and supervisory activities, comparisons, reconciliations and other routine actions. Separate evaluations focus on the continued effectiveness of a client's internal control. A member's independence would not be impaired by the performance of separate evaluations of the effectiveness of a client's internal control, including separate evaluations of the client's ongoing monitoring activities.

The member should understand that, with respect to the internal audit function, the client is responsible for--

- Designating a competent individual or individuals, preferably within senior management, to be responsible for the internal audit function
- Determining the scope, risk and frequency of internal audit activities, including those to be performed by the member providing extended audit services
- Evaluating the findings and results arising from the internal audit activities, including those performed by the member providing extended audit services
- Evaluating the adequacy of the audit procedures performed and the findings resulting from the performance of those procedures by, among other things, obtaining reports from the member

The member should be satisfied that the board of directors and/or audit committee is informed of roles and responsibilities of both client management and the member with respect to the engagement to provide extended audit services as a basis for the board of directors and/or audit committee to establish guidelines for both management and the member to follow in carrying out these responsibilities and monitoring how well the respective responsibilities have been met.

## **AICPA Professional Rulings and Interpretations Referenced in the Interagency Policy Statement**

The member should be responsible for performing the audit procedures in accordance with the terms of the engagement and reporting thereon. The day-to-day performance of the audit procedures should be directed, reviewed, and supervised by the member. The report should include information that allows the individual responsible for the internal audit function to evaluate the adequacy of the audit procedures performed and the findings resulting from the performance of those procedures. This report may include recommendations for improvements in systems, processes, and procedures. The member may assist the individual responsible for the internal audit function in performing preliminary audit risk assessments, preparing audit plans, and recommending audit priorities. However, the member should not undertake any responsibilities that are required, as described above, to be performed by the individual responsible for the internal audit function.

Performing procedures that are generally of the type considered to be extensions of the member's audit scope applied in the audit of the client's financial statements, such as confirming of accounts receivable and analyzing fluctuations in account balances, would not impair the independence of the member or the member's firm even if the extent of such testing exceeds that required by generally accepted auditing standards. The following are examples of activities that, if performed as part of an extended audit service, would be considered to impair a member's independence:

- Performing ongoing monitoring activities or control activities (for example, reviewing loan originations as part of the client's approval process or reviewing customer credit information as part of the customer's sales authorization process) that affect the execution of transactions or ensure that transactions are properly executed, accounted for, or both, and performing routine activities in connection with the client's operating or production processes that are equivalent to those of an ongoing compliance or quality control function
- Determining which, if any, recommendations for improving the internal control system should be implemented
- Reporting to the board of directors or audit committee on behalf of management or the individual responsible for the internal audit function
- Authorizing, executing, or consummating transactions or otherwise exercising authority on behalf of the client
- Preparing source documents on transactions
- Having custody of assets
- Approving or being responsible for the overall internal audit work plan including the determination of the internal audit risk and scope, project priorities and frequency of performance of audit procedures
- Being connected with the client in any capacity equivalent to a member of client management or as an employee (for example, being listed as an employee in client directories or other client publications, permitting himself or herself to be referred to by title or description as supervising or being in charge of the client's internal audit function, or using the client's letterhead or internal correspondence forms in communications)

The foregoing list is not intended to be all inclusive.

[Effective August 31, 1996]